#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Ligt	of Tables	2
	LECT PARTICIPANTS	
3LL 1.	CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE	
2.	SUMMARY OF MAIN FINDINGS	
	Contents of the Digital Repository	
	Cost of Developing the Repository	
	Software Procured for Operating the Digital Repository	
	In-House vs. Open Access to the Digital Repository	
	Mean Founding Date of the Digital Repository	
	Use of Content from Pre-Existing Divisional or Departmental Repositories	
	Types and Brands of Software Used to Operate the Digital Repository	
	Number of Man Hours of Labor Per Year Needed to Operate the Digital Repository	
	Purchasing Plans for Repository Software	
	eNewsletters or RSS feeds to Publicize the Repository	
	Website Links to Publicize the Repository	
	Use of Blogs, Brochures and Annual Reports to Publicize the Repository	
	Number of End Users of the Repository	
	Downloads from the Digital Repository	
	Annual Growth Rate in the Use of the Digital Repository	
	Digital Repository Budgets	
	Institutional Source of the Labor Required to Operate the Digital Repository	
	Percentage of Published Works Written by the College/Institution's Authors Archived	
	the Digital Repository	
	Which Departments Contribute To The Digital Repository?	30
	Number of Books, Journal Articles, Articles from Non-Refereed Publications, and Dig	
	Images Held by the Digital Repository	31
	Percentage of Books That Are Full Open Access	
	Percentage of Books Subject to Page View Limits	32
	Percentage of Repositories that Share Content with Google Books or other Search Eng	ine
	Book Sites	
	Financial Impact of the Digital Repository on the Sponsoring Institution	33
	Sources of Funding for the Digital Repository	
3.	CONTENTS OF THE DIGITAL REPOSITORY	
4.	INITIAL REPOSITORY DEVELOPMENT & MARKETING	
5.	SOFTWARE AND WEB APPLICATIONS FOR THE DIGITAL REPOSITORY	
6.	MARKETING THE DIGITAL REPOSITORY	
7.	NUMBER OF VISITORS TO AND END USERS OF THE DIGITAL REPOSITORY	
8.	THE BUDGET OF THE DIGITAL REPOSITORY	68
9.	WHO WORKS IN AND RUNS THE DIGITAL REPOSITORY?	
10.		
	GITAL REPOSITORY	74
11.	DEGREE OF OPEN ACCESS TO THE DIGITAL REPOSITORY	
12.		
13.		
14.		
OTI	HER REPORTS FROM PRIMARY RESEARCH GROUP	123

# **List of Tables**

Table 1—1: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Total Number of Students in the	
Institution in FTE Terms	2
Table 1—2: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Total Number of Students in the	
Institution in FTE Terms, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required	
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository	2
Table 1—3: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Total Number of Students in the	
Institution in FTE Terms, Broken Out by the Country or Region of Location of the	
Repository22	2
Table 1—4: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Total Number of Students in the	
Institution in FTE Terms, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the	
	3
Repository	
Faculty	4
Table 3—2: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Books Written by	
Faculty, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate	
the Digital Repository	4
Table 3—3: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Journal Articles	
Written by Faculty	4
Table 3—4: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Journal Articles	
Written by Faculty, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to	)
Operate the Digital Repository	
Table 3—5: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Journal Articles	
Written by Faculty, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository	
Table 3—6: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Journal Articles	
Written by Faculty, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the	
Repository	5
Table 3—7: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Presentations Made	
by Faculty3	
Table 3—8: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Presentations Made	
by Faculty, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 3:	
Table 3—9: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Presentations Made	
by Faculty, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate	
the Digital Repository	
Table 3—10: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Presentations	_
Made by Faculty, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the	
Repository	6
Table 3—11: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Digital Images . 30	6
Table 3—12: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Digital Images,	,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository	6
Table 3—13: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Digital Images,	J
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital	ı
Repository	
200 p 0 0 2 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	J

Table 3—14: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Digital Images,	
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	36
Table 3—15: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Dissertations	
and/or Theses	37
Table 3—16: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Dissertations	
and/or These, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository	37
Table 3—17: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Dissertations	
and/or Theses, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to	
Operate the Digital Repository	37
Table 3—18: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Dissertations	
and/or Theses, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	/37
Table 3—19: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Courses and	
Coursework	37
Table 3—20: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Courses and	
	38
Table 3—21: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Courses and	
Coursework, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to	
Operate the Digital Repository	38
Table 3—22: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Courses and	
Coursework, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	38
Table 3—23: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have University	
Records	38
Table 3—24: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have University	
	38
Table 3—25: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have University	
Records, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate	•
the Digital Repository	39
Table 3—26: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have University	
Records, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	39
Table 3—27: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Images of Rare	
Books or Historical Documents	39
Table 3—28: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Images of Rare	
Books or Historical Documents, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of	the
Repository	
Table 3—29: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Images of Rare	
Books or Historical Documents, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Require	red
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository	39
Table 3—30: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Images of Rare	
Books or Historical Documents, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsori	ng
the Repository	40
Table 3—31: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have College	
Publications such as the Alumni Magazine	40
Table 3—32: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have College	
Publications such as the Alumni Magazine, Broken Out by The Country or Region of	
Location of the Repository	40

Table 3—33: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have College
Publications such as the Alumni Magazine, Broken out by Number of Man Hours of
Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 3—34: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have College
Publications such as the Alumni Magazine, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution
Sponsoring the Repository
Table 3—35: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Patents Issued to
Faculty or to the University
Table 3—36: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Patents Issued to
Faculty or to the University, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository
Faculty or to the University, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 3—38: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Patents Issued to
Faculty or to the University, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the
Repository
Table 3—39: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Notebooks,
Personal Papers and other Materials of Scholarly Interest About Noted Scholars of the
College
Table 3—40: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Notebooks,
Personal Papers and other Materials of Scholarly Interest About Noted Scholars of the
College, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 3—41: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Notebooks,
Personal Papers and other Materials of Scholarly Interest About Noted Scholars of the
College, Broken out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the
Digital Repository
Table 3—42: Percentage of the Digital Repositories in the Sample that have Notebooks,
Personal Papers and other Materials of Scholarly Interest About Noted Scholars of the
College, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 42
Table 4—1: Spending to Initially Develop the Repository and Pay for Preliminary Marketing
(in US\$)
Table 4—2: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Spending to Initially Develop the
Repository and Pay for Preliminary Marketing (in US\$)*, Broken Out by The Country or
Region of Location of the Repository
Table 4—3: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Spending to Initially Develop the
Repository and Pay for Preliminary Marketing (in US\$)*, Broken Out by Number of Man
Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository43
Table 4—4: Percentage of Libraries that Purchased Specialized Software to Develop the
Digital Repository43
Table 4—5: Percentage of Libraries that Purchased Specialized Software to Develop the
Digital Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 4—6: Percentage of Libraries that Purchased Specialized Software to Develop the
Digital Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
44

Table 4—7: Percentage of Libraries that Purchased Specialized Software to Develop the
Digital Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository
Table 4—8: Percentage of Libraries that Purchased Specialized Software to Develop the
Digital Repository, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the
Repository44
Table 4—9: Repository Access Restriction Policies
Table 4—10: Repository Access Restriction Policies, Broken Out by The Country or Region of
Location of the Repository
Table 4—11: Repository Access Restriction Policies, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of
Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 4—12: Repository Access Restriction Policies, Broken Out by Type of College or
Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 4—13: Participants Advice About Software Issues in Starting A Digital Repository 45
Table 4—14: Participants Advice About Software Issues in Starting A Digital Repository,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 4—15: Participants Advice About Software Issues in Starting A Digital Repository,
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository46
Table 4—16: Participants Advice About Software Issues in Starting A Digital Repository,
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 4—17: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Years that the Digital
Repository has been in Operation
Table 4—18: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Years that the Digital
Repository has been in Operation, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of
the Repository
Table 4—19: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Years that the Digital
Repository has been in Operation, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor
Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 4—20: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Years that the Digital
Repository has been in Operation, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution
Sponsoring the Repository
Table 4—21: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Content in the Digital
Repository that Initially Came from Pre-Existing Content in the Repositories Maintained
by Academic Departments
Table 4—22: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Content in the Digital
Repository that Initially Came from Pre-Existing Content in the Repositories Maintained
by Academic Departments, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository48
Table 4—23: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Content in the Digital
Repository that Initially Came from Pre-Existing Content in the Repositories Maintained
by Academic Departments, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 4—24: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Content in the Digital
Repository that Initially Came from Pre-Existing Content in the Repositories Maintained
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

by Academic Departments, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring	the
Repository	49
Table 5—1: Percentage of Libraries that Use CDWare	50
Table 5—2: Percentage of Libraries that Use Digital Commons	50
Table 5—3: Percentage of Libraries that Use Digital Commons, Broken Out by The Count	ry or
Region of Location of the Repository	
Table 5—4: Percentage of Libraries that Use Digital Commons, Broken Out by Number of	f
Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository	
Table 5—5: Percentage of Libraries that Use <i>Digital Commons</i> , Broken Out by Type of	
College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	50
Table 5—6: Percentage of Libraries that Use Digital Media Archives	51
Table 5—7: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use the Software DigiTool	
Table 5—8: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use the Software <i>DigiTool</i> , Broken Ou	
The Country or Region of Location of the Repository	•
Table 5—9: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use the Software DigiTool, Broken Ou	
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository	-
Table 5—10: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use the Software DigiTool, Broken O	
by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	
Table 5—11: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use <i>DoKS</i> SOftware	
Table 5—12: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use <i>DSpace</i> Software	
Table 5—13: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use DSpace Software, Broken Out by	
Country or Region of Location of the Repository	
Table 5—14: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use DSpace Software, Broken Out by	7
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository	/ 52
Table 5—15: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use DSpace Software, Broken Out by	
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	52
Table 5—16: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use GNU EPrints Software	53
Table 5—17: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use GNU EPrints Software, Broken C	
by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository	
Table 5—18: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use GNU EPrints Software, Broken C	
by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Reposit	
	53
Table 5—19: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use GNU EPrints Software, Broken C	Out
by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	
Table 5—20: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use Symposia Software	
Table 5—21: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use Fedora	53
Table 5—22: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use Fedora, Broken Out by The Cour	
or Region of Location of the Repository	
Table 5—23: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use <i>Fedora</i> , Broken Out by Number	
Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository	54
Table 5—24: Percentage of Survey Participants that Use <i>Fedora</i> , Broken Out by Type of	
College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	54
Table 5—25: Percentage of Libraries that Use Other Repository Software not Previously	
Specified	54
Table 5—26: Percentage of Libraries that Use Other Repository Software not Previously	
Specified, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository	54

Table 5—27: Percentage of Libraries that Use Other Repository Software not Previously
Specified, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate
the Digital Repository55
Table 5—28: Percentage of Libraries that Use Other Repository Software not Previously
Specified, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 53
Table 5—29: Will the Institution Purchase Initial or Additional Digital Repository Software
Within the Next Two Years?53
Table 5—30: Will the Institution Purchase Initial or Additional Digital Repository Software
Within the Next Two Years? Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository55
Table 5—31: Will the Institution Purchase Initial or Additional Digital Repository Software
Within the Next Two Years, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository50
Table 5—32: Will the Institution Purchase Initial or Additional Digital Repository Software
Within the Next Two Years? Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the
Repository50
Table 6—1: Percentage of Survey Participants that have an email newsletter or RSS feed to
which they regularly sends announcements of new additions and other news from the
depository5
Table 6—2: Percentage of Survey Participants that have an email newsletter or RSS feed to
which they regularly sends announcements of new additions and other news from the
depository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 58
Table 6—3: Percentage of Survey Participants that have an email newsletter or RSS feed to
which they regularly sends announcements of new additions and other news from the
depository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate
the Digital Repository5
Table 6—4: Percentage of Survey Participants that have an email newsletter or RSS feed to
which they regularly sends announcements of new additions and other news from the
depository, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 58
Table 6—5: Percentage of Repositories that have a Link on the Library Website 59
Table 6—6: Percentage of Repositories that have a Link on the Library Website, Broken Out
by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 6—7: Percentage of Repositories that have a Link on the Library Website, Broken Out
by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 6—8: Percentage of Repositories that have a Link on the Library Website, Broken Out
by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 6—9: Percentage of Repositories that have a Link on the College Website Apart from
the Link to the College Library Website*59
Table 6—10: Percentage of Repositories that have a Link on the College Website Apart from
the Link to the College Library Website, Broken Out by The Country or Region of
Location of the Repository
Table 6—11: Percentage of Repositories that have a Link on the College Website Apart from
the Link to the College Library Website, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor
Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository

Table 6—12: Percentage of Repositories that have a Link on the College Website Apart from
the Link to the College Library Website, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution
Sponsoring the Repository
Table 6—13: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Blog Specifically for the
Repository60
Table 6—14: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Blog Specifically for the
Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 60
Table 6—15: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Bog Specifically for the
Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository
Table 6—16: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Blog Specifically for the
Repository, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 61
Table 6—17: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Promotional Brochure for the
Repository61
Table 6—18: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Promotional Brochure for the
Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 61
Table 6—19: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Promotional Brochure for the
Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository
Table 6—20: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Promotional Brochure for the
Repository, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 62
Table 6—21: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Published Annual Report for the
Repository
Table 6—22: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Published Annual Report for the
Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 62
Table 6—23: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Published Annual Report for the
Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository
Table 6—24: Percentage of Survey Participants that have a Published Annual Report for the
Repository, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 62
Table 6-25: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Spending on Marketing the Digital
Repository in the Past Year (in \$ US)
Table 6-26: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Spending on Marketing the Digital
Repository in the Past Year (in \$ US), , Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor
Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 6-27: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Spending on Marketing the Digital
Repository in the Past Year (in \$ US), Broken Out by Type of College or Institution
Sponsoring the Repository
Table 6-28: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Spending on Marketing the Digital
Repository in the Past Year (in \$ US), Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location
of the Repository
Table 7—1: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Visitors to the Repository
Website in the Past Year 64
Table 7—2: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Visitors to the Repository
Website in the Past Year, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository64

Table 7—3: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Visitors to the Repository
Website in the Past Year, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 7—4: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Visitors to the Repository
Website in the Past Year, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the
Repository65
Table 7—5: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Visitors to the Repository
Who Are Connected to the Repository Host Institution
Table 7—6: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Visitors to the Repository
Who Are Connected to the Repository Host Institution, Broken Out by The Country or
Region of Location of the Repository
Table 7—7: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Visitors to the Repository
Who Are Connected to the Repository Host Institution, Broken Out by Number of Man
Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Digital Repository
Table 7—9: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Annual Downloads from the
Digital Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
T. 1. 7. 10 M. M. I. M.
Table 7—10: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Annual Downloads from
the Digital Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 7—11: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage Annual Growth Rate in the
Use of the Digital Repository
Table 7—12: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage Annual Growth Rate in the
Use of the Digital Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository67
Table 7—13: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage Annual Growth Rate in the
Use of the Digital Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 8—1: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Annual Budget of the Digital Repository
Allocated by the Library (in \$ US)
Table 8—2: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Annual Budget of the Digital Repository
Allocated by the Library, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository (in \$ US)
Table 8—3: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Annual Budget of the Digital Repository
Allocated by the Library, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository (in \$ US)
Table 9—1: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by Library Personnel
Table 9—2: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by Library Personnel, Broken Out by The Country or Region of
Location of the Repository
Table 9—3: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by Library Personnel, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor
Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
1

Table 9—4: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by the Library, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution
Sponsoring the Repository70
Table 9—5: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by Academic Departments Not Connected to the Library
Table 9—6: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by Academic Departments Not Connected to the Library, Broken
Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 9—7: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by Academic Departments Not Connected to the Library, Broken Out
by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 9—8: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by Academic Departments Not Connected to the Library, Broken
Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 9—9: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run the
Repository Supplied by Information Technology-Oriented Administrative Departments 71
Table 9—10: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run
the Repository Supplied by Information Technology-Oriented Administrative
Departments, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 71
Table 9—11: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor to Run the
Repository Supplied by Information Technology-Oriented Administrative Departments,
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository71
Table 9—12: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor to Run the
Repository Supplied by Information Technology-Oriented Administrative Departments,
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 9—13: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run
the Repository Supplied by Other Administrative Entities Not Previously Specified 72
Table 9—14: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run
the Repository Supplied by Other Administrative Entities Not Previously Specified,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 9—15: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run
the Repository Supplied by Other Administrative Entities Not Previously Specified,
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository73
Table 9—16: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Labor Required to Run
the Repository Supplied by Other Administrative Entities Not Previously Specified,
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—1: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books Published by the
Institution's Faculty or other Personnel that are Archived in the Digital Repository 74
Table 10—2: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books Published by the
Institution's Faculty or other Personnel that are Archived in the Digital Repository,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—3: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books Published by the
Institution's Faculty or other Personnel that are Archived in the Digital Repository,
inducation of acting of other reposition and reconstruct in the Digital Repository,

Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository72
Table 10—4: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books Published by the
Institution's Faculty or other Personnel that are Archived in the Digital Repository,
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—5: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles
Published by the Institution's Faculty or other Personnel that are Archived in the Digital
Repository75
Table 10—6: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles
Published by the Institution's Faculty or other Personnel that are Archived in the Digital
Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 75
Table 10—7: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles
Published by the Institution's Faculty or other Personnel that are Archived in the Digital
Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository
Table 10—8: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles
Published by the Institution's Faculty or other Personnel that are Archived in the Digital
Repository, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 76
Table 10—9: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Medical & Biological Sciences
Table 10 10 Dating of Contributors to the Digital Depository Medical & Dialogical
Table 10—10: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Medical & Biological
Sciences, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—11: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Medical & Biological
Sciences, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 10—12: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Medical & Biological
Sciences, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 77
Table 10—13: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Chemistry
Table 10—14: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Chemistry, Broken Out by The
Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—15: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Chemistry, Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 77
Table 10—16: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Chemistry, Broken Out by
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—17: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Physics
Table 10—18: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Physics, Broken Out by The
Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—19: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Physics, Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 78
Table 10—20: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Physics, Broken Out by Type
of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—21: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Literature
Table 10—22: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Literature, Broken Out by The
Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—23: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Literature, Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 79

Table 10—24: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Literature, Broken Out by
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—25: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Art, Architecture & Music 80
Table 10—26: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Art, Architecture & Music,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—27: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Art, Architecture & Music,
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository 81
Table 10—28: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Art, Architecture & Music,
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—29: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Economics
Table 10—30: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Economics, Broken Out by
The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—31: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Economics, Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 82
Table 10—32: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Economics, Broken Out by
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—33: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Psychology
Table 10—34: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Psychology, Broken Out by
The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—35: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Psychology, Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 83
Table 10—36: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Psychology, Broken Out by
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—37: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Anthropology
Table 10—38: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Anthropology, Broken Out by
The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—39: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Anthropology, Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 84
Table 10—40: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Anthropology, Broken Out by
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—41: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Law
Table 10—42: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Law, Broken Out by The
Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—43: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Law, Broken Out by Number
of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 10—44: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Law, Broken Out by Type of
College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—45: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: College Sports Teams 86
Table 10—45: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: College Sports Teams, Broken
Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—47: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: College Sports Teams, Broken
Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository
Table 10—48: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: College Sports Teams, Broken
Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository

Table 10—49: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: College/University Museums
Table 10—50: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: College/University Museums,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—51: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: College/University Museums,
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository87
Table 10—52: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: College/University Museums,
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 10—53: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Research Institutes Associated
with the Institution
Table 10—54: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Research Institutes Associated
with the Institution, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—55: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Research Institutes Associated
with the Institution, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository
Table 10—56: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: Research Institutes Associated
with the Institution, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the
Repository88
Table 10—57: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Office of
Institutional Advancement
Table 10—58: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Office of
Institutional Advancement, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository89
Table 10—59: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Office of
Institutional Advancement, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository89
Table 10—60: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Office of
Institutional Advancement, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the
Repository90
Table 10—61: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Alumni Affairs
Office
Table 10—62: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Alumni Affairs
Office, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository90
Table 10—63: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Alumni Affairs
Office, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the
Digital Repository91
Table 10—64: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Alumni Affairs
Office, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 91
Table 10—65: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Admissions
Office91
Table 10—66: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Admissions
Office, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository91

Table 10—67: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Admissions
Office, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the
Digital Repository92
Table 10—68: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Admissions
Office, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 92
Table 10—69: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Newspaper 92
Table 10—70: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Newspaper,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 10—71: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Newspaper,
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository 93
Table 10—72: Rating of Contributors to the Digital Repository: The College Newspaper,
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 11—1: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Books in the Digital
Repository
Table 11—2: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Books in the Digital
Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 97
Table 11—3: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Books in the Digital
Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository 97
Table 11—4: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Books in the Digital
Repository Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 98
Table 11—5: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository
Table 11—6: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository  98
Table 11—7: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository
Table 11—8: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the
Repository
Table 11—9: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Articles in the Digital
Repository from Non-Refereed Publications such as Newspapers & Magazines
Table 11—10: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Articles in the Digital
Repository from Non-Refereed Publications such as Newspapers & Magazines, Broken
$\mathcal{I}$
Table 11—11: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Articles in the Digital
Repository from Non-Refereed Publications such as Newspapers & Magazines, Broken
Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository 99
Table 11—12: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Articles in the Digital
Repository from Non-Refereed Publications such as Newspapers & Magazines, Broken
Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository

Table 11—13: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Digital Images of Works
of Art in the Digital Repository
Table 11—14: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Digital Images of Works
of Art in the Digital Repository, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository
Table 11—15: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Digital Images of Works
of Art in the Digital Repository, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 11—16: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Number of Digital Images of Works
of Art in the Digital Repository, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring
the Repository
Table 11—17: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access Prior to or Upon Publication
Table 11—18: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access Prior to or Upon Publication, Broken Out by The
Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 11—19: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access Prior to or Upon Publication Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 101
Table 11—20: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access Prior to or Upon Publication, Broken Out by Type
of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 11—21: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access After a Waiting Period of One Year or Less 102
Table 11—22: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access After a Waiting Period of One Year or Less, Broken
Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 11—23: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access After a Waiting Period of One Year or Less, Broken
Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository
Table 11—24: Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access After a Waiting Period of One Year or Less, Broken
Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 11—25: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access After a Waiting Period of More than One Year 103
Table 11—26: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access After a Waiting Period of More than One Year,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 11—27: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access After a Waiting Period of More than One Year,
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital
Repository
Table 11—28: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Full Open Access After a Waiting Period of More than One Year,
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 104

Table 11—29: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of the Journal Articles in
the Digital Repository that can be Described as Full Open Access
Table 11—30: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of the Journal Articles in
the Digital Repository that can be Described as Full Open Access, Broken Out by The
Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 11—31: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of the Journal Articles in
the Digital Repository that can be Described as Full Open Access, Broken Out by Number
of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 11—32: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of the Journal Articles in
the Digital Repository that can be Described as Full Open Access, Broken Out by Type of
College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 11—33: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository that are Open Access Subject to Page View Limits
Table 11—34: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository that are Open Access Subject to Page View Limits, Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 106
Table 11—35: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository that are Open Access Subject to Page View Limits, Broken Out by
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 11—36: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository to Which Access is Restricted to those Connected to a Specified
Institution or Set of Institutions
Table 11—37: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository to Which Access is Restricted to those Individuals Connected to a
Specified Institution or Set of Institutions, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor
Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 11—38: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Journal Articles in the
Digital Repository to Which Access is Restricted to those Individuals Connected to a
Specified Institution or Set of Institutions, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution  Sponsoring the Repository
Sponsoring the Repository
Repository that can be Described as Full Open Access
Table 11—40: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of the Books in the Digital
Repository that can be Described as Full Open Access, Broken Out by Number of Man
Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 11—41: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Subjected to a Page View Limit
Table 11—42: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of Books in the Digital
Repository that are Subjected to a Page View Limit, Broken Out by Number of Man
Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 11—43: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of the Books in the Digital
Repository to Which Access is Restricted to those Individuals Connected to an Institution
or Set of Institutions
Table 11—44: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Percentage of the Books in the Digital
Repository to Which Access is Restricted to those Individuals Connected to an Institution

or Set of Institutions, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually
to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 12—1: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Google Books
Table 12—2: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Google Books, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 111
Table 12—3: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Google Books, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to
Operate the Digital Repository
Table 12—4: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Google Books, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 12—5: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Yahoo
Table 12—6: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Yahoo, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 112
Table 12—7: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Yahoo, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the
Digital Repository112
Table 12—8: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Yahoo, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 112
Table 12—9: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
MSN
Table 12—10: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
MSN, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 113
Table 12—11: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
MSN, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the
Digital Repository113
Table 12—12: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
MSN, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository 113
Table 12—13: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Any Other Search Engine Not Previously Cited
Table 12—14: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Any Other Search Engine Not Previously Cited, Broken Out by The Country or Region of
Location of the Repository
Table 12—15: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Any Other Search Engine Not Previously Cited, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of
Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 12—16: Percentage of Digital Repositories in the Sample that Share their Content with
Any Other Search Engine Not Previously Cited, Broken Out by Type of College or
Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 13- 1: Assessment of the Financial Impact of the Institutional Digital Repository 115
Table 13-2: Assessment of the Financial Impact of the Institutional Digital Repository, Broken
Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository

Table 13-3: Assessment of the Financial Impact of the Institutional Digital Repository, Broken
Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to perate the Digital
Repository116
Table 13-4: Assessment of the Financial Impact of the Institutional Digital Repository, Broken
Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 13- 5: Funding Sources for the Institutional Digital Repository
Table 13- 6: Funding Sources for the Institutional Digital Repository, Broken Out by The
Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 13- 7: Funding Sources for the Institutional Digital Repository, Broken Out by Number
of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 13- 8: Funding Sources for the Institutional Digital Repository, Broken Out by Type of
College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 13- 9: Mean, Median, Minimum and Maximum Annual Spending of Grant Money by the
Repository, Subset of Institutions that Receive Grant Money for the Repository, in \$US
Table 13- 10: Percentage Of Libraries In The Sample That Support The Digital Repository
With Operational Funds Or Significant Donated Man Hours Of Work
Table 13- 11: Percentage Of Libraries In The Sample That Support The Digital Repository
With Operational Funds Or Significant Donated Man Hours Of Work, Broken Out by The
Country or Region of Location of the Repository
Table 13- 12: Percentage Of Libraries In The Sample That Support The Digital Repository
With Operational Funds Or Significant Donated Man Hours Of Work, Broken Out by
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository 118
Table 13- 13: Percentage Of Libraries In The Sample That Support The Digital Repository
With Operational Funds Or Significant Donated Man Hours Of Work, Broken Out by
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository
Table 13- 14: Percentage Of Information-Technology Oriented Administrative Departments in
the Sample That Support The Digital Repository With Operational Funds Or Significant
Donated Man Hours Of Work
Table 13- 15: Percentage Of Information-Technology Oriented Administrative Departments in
the Sample That Support The Digital Repository With Operational Funds Or Significant
Donated Man Hours Of Work, Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the
Repository
Table 13- 16: Percentage Of Information-Technology Oriented Administrative Departments in
the Sample That Support The Digital Repository With Operational Funds Or Significant
Donated Man Hours Of Work, Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required
Annually to Operate the Digital Repository
Table 13- 17: Percentage Of Information-Technology Oriented Administrative Departments in
the Sample That Support The Digital Repository With Operational Funds Or Significant
Donated Man Hours Of Work, Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring
the Repository119
Table 13- 18: Percentage Of Digital Repositories Supported by Non-Library Related Academic
Departments With Operational Funds Or Significant Donated Man Hours Of Work 119
Table 13- 19: Percentage Of Digital Repositories Supported by Non-Library Related Academic
Departments With Operational Funds Or Significant Donated Man Hours Of Work,
Broken Out by The Country or Region of Location of the Repository 119

Table 13- 20: Percentage Of Digital Repositories Supported by Non-Library Related Acade	mic
Departments With Operational Funds Or Significant Donated Man Hours Of Work,	
Broken Out by Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Dig	ital
Repository	120
Table 13-21: Percentage Of Digital Repositories Supported by Non-Library Related Acade	mic
Departments With Operational Funds Or Significant Donated Man Hours Of Work,	
Broken Out by Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	120
Table 14- 1: Level of Library Cataloging of Works in the Digital Repository	121
Table 14-2: Level of Library Cataloging of Works in the Digital Repository, Broken Out by	7
The Country or Region of Location of the Repository	121
Table 14-3: Level of Library Cataloging of Works in the Digital Repository, Broken Out by	7
Number of Man Hours of Labor Required Annually to Operate the Digital Repository	121
Table 14-4: Level of Library Cataloging of Works in the Digital Repository, Broken Out by	7
Type of College or Institution Sponsoring the Repository	122