

1. Relations with Faculty on Data Curation Issues

Table 1.1: Does the library offer advice to faculty on how to develop data management plans for grant proposals or personal use?

	Yes	No
Entire sample	63.33%	36.67%

Table 1.2: Does the library offer advice to faculty on how to develop data management plans for grant proposals or personal use? Broken out by country.

Country	Yes	No
United States	72.22%	27.78%
Other	50.00%	50.00%

Table 1.3: Does the library offer advice to faculty on how to develop data management plans for grant proposals or personal use? Broken out by full-time equivalent enrollment.

Enrollment	Yes	No
Less than 15,000	40.00%	60.00%
15,000 to 29,999	66.67%	33.33%
30,000 or more	81.82%	18.18%

Table 1.4: Does the library offer advice to faculty on how to develop data management plans for grant proposals or personal use? Broken out by Carnegie Class (or equivalent).

Carnegie Class (or Equivalent)	Yes	No
RU/VH or top 150 ranking	81.25%	18.75%
RU/H or top 400 ranking	42.86%	57.14%
Other	42.86%	57.14%

Table 1.5: Does the library offer any one-on-one tutorials to train faculty in data management?

	Yes	No
Entire sample	46.67%	53.33%

Table 1.6: Does the library offer any one-on-one tutorials to train faculty in data management? Broken out by country.

Country	Yes	No
United States	61.11%	38.89%
Other	25.00%	75.00%

Table 1.7: Does the library offer any one-on-one tutorials to train faculty in data management? Broken out by full-time equivalent enrollment.

Enrollment	Yes	No
Less than 15,000	30.00%	70.00%
15,000 to 29,999	44.44%	55.56%
30,000 or more	63.64%	36.36%

Table 1.8: Does the library offer any one-on-one tutorials to train faculty in data management? Broken out by Carnegie Class (or equivalent).

Carnegie Class (or Equivalent)	Yes	No
RU/VH or top 150 ranking	62.50%	37.50%
RU/H or top 400 ranking	42.86%	57.14%
Other	14.29%	85.71%

Table 3.9: If the library has a data curation staff, how many FTE positions have been assigned to this staff or department?

	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum
Entire sample	1.83	2.00	0.25	4.00

Table 3.10: If the library has a data curation staff, how many FTE positions have been assigned to this staff or department? Broken out by country.

Country	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum
United States	1.64	1.00	0.25	4.00
Other	2.25	2.50	1.00	3.00

Table 3.11: If the library has a data curation staff, how many FTE positions have been assigned to this staff or department? Broken out by full-time equivalent enrollment.

Enrollment	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum
Less than 15,000	2.67	3.00	1.00	4.00
15,000 to 29,999	2.17	2.00	1.00	3.50
30,000 or more	1.32	1.00	0.25	3.00

Table 3.12: If the library has a data curation staff, how many FTE positions have been assigned to this staff or department? Broken out by Carnegie Class (or equivalent).

Carnegie Class (or Equivalent)	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum
RU/VH or top 150 ranking	1.91	2.00	0.25	3.50
RU/H or top 400 ranking	0.75	0.75	0.50	1.00
Other	2.33	2.00	1.00	4.00

Table 3.13: Is there a distinct line item in your library budget for data curation?

	Yes	No
Entire sample	6.67%	93.33%

**Table 3.14: Is there a distinct line item in your library budget for data curation?
Broken out by country.**

Country	Yes	No
United States	5.56%	94.44%
Other	8.33%	91.67%

**Table 3.15: Is there a distinct line item in your library budget for data curation?
Broken out by full-time equivalent enrollment.**

Enrollment	Yes	No
Less than 15,000	10.00%	90.00%
15,000 to 29,999	0.00%	100.00%
30,000 or more	9.09%	90.91%

**Table 3.16: Is there a distinct line item in your library budget for data curation?
Broken out by Carnegie Class (or equivalent).**

Carnegie Class (or Equivalent)	Yes	No
RU/VH or top 150 ranking	12.50%	87.50%
RU/H or top 400 ranking	0.00%	100.00%
Other	0.00%	100.00%

How have you gone about developing metadata for your data curation efforts?

1. We haven't.
2. Use MODS/DC for our repository (Fedora/Hydra based).
3. Work with metadata specialist in cataloging department.
4. We have a metadata librarian.
5. Planning stage - would be keen to see developments in other HEIs.
6. We haven't got that far yet.
7. We have not yet done this.
8. Developing in house protocols and absorbing external guidelines from national and international archives.
9. Extensive research and effort to extend our MODS metadata for research data.
10. Examined approaches from national and international authoritative sources.
11. Not yet a strategic priority for our library.
12. Have not yet started.
13. Collaboratively.
14. Investigating the literature and recommended best practices.
15. Keeping in mind that we have not archived all of the data types that are listed above (and I wasn't given the option to select 'n/a'), developing metadata for datasets has been achieved on a case-by-case basis in partnership with the data contributor. That has been an enjoyable process, as most researchers have been relieved to finally 'get' what metadata is all about, and how easy it can be to create. The more difficult process has been selecting a metadata schema for datasets that is amenable to the restrictions of our repository platform, which has limited, non-hierarchical metadata capabilities.
16. Working closely with the user to develop readme files to accompany the data.